



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

In re Application of:)	Appeal No	•		
MICHAEL McHALE GEORGE NICHTULA CHRISTINE L. CORRIVEAU WILLIAM WOKAS))) .				
Serial No. 08/044,240)	Group Art	Unit	: 1	.302
Filed: April 7, 1993) .	Examiner:	C.	Sher	rer
For: MULTI-PHASE SHEETED CHEWING GUM AND METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR MAKING)))		(TA)	<u> </u>	5 35

APPLICANTS' REPLY TO THE SUPPLEMENTAL EXAMINER'S ANSWER

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

Applicants respectfully submit the following reply to the SUPPLEMENTAL EXAMINER'S ANSWER dated

November 15, 1996. This reply addresses only the supplemental points raised by the Examiner.

First, the Examiner argues that there is no basis in the specification for Applicants' assertion that the first mass contains the second mass on three sides so that the second mass cannot run or leak through the product. In response, the specification states:

The second mass is smaller than the first mass and is embedded in the first mass so as to be visible with

the first mass from the top surface of the chewing gum (p. 2 lines 6-8)

Referring also to the cross-section in Figure 2, it can be seen that this novel chewing gum product includes a first mass of chewing gum 13 and a second mass of a confectionery product 15 which has a different color from the first mass and which is embedded in and visible from the top surface of the first mass. . Preferably, the second mass is not visible from the bottom surface of the first mass (p. 5 lines 17-24).

Figure 2 plainly shows a second mass 15 surrounded on three sides (left side, bottom side, and right side) by a first mass 13. The drawing, taken in conjunction with the above-quoted passages, plainly supports Applicants' position. The specification also teaches the use of chocolate, taffy, marshmallow, etc. as the second mass. These confections are known to liquify and run at temperatures typical of molten chewing gum. Further explanation is not necessary to ensure an understanding by persons skilled in the art.

The Examiner argues that the specification does not give temperature profiles of the second masses as the chewing gum is made. Again, it is well known that chewing gum in a molten state is hot enough to melt chocolate, etc. Also, a molten chewing gum temperature of about 140°F is disclosed at p. 8, line 25, and is hot enough to melt chocolate. Further discussion of temperature is not necessary.

Finally, the Examiner argues that there is no disclosure of a cooling step to harden the product for cutting. It is well known to persons skilled in the art that molten chewing gum is cooled before being cut. Molten gum is hard to cut. The purpose of the specification is to describe the <u>invention</u> to persons skilled in the art. A detailed explanation of conventional processing techniques, old in the art, is not necessary to achieve this end.

In summary, the invention as claimed is fully supported by the specification.

Respectfully submitted,

Maxwell J. Petersen

Reg. No. $3\frac{1}{2}$, 772

BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE P.O. Box 10395 Chicago, Illinois 60610 (312) 321-4200